

## **Student Employee Performance Evaluation**

Human Resources Student Employment 1500 N. Patterson Street Valdosta, GA 31698 229-333-5709 (Fax) 229-259-5030

Student Name		Date of Evaluation	
Evaluator Name		Department	
one or two occurances. Do not allow student's performance and mark in for each trait. Attach a separate she	w personal feelings to the corresponding bo et if required for addi supervisor and stude	formance on each trait being rated. Your ju o govern your ratings. Select the statement ox. Enter additional comments if desired or tional information or remarks. This docume ent. When the evaluation is completed, it sh	that most closely describes the appropriate in the space provided ent should be completed in ink, with
Trait evaluated	Points and rating scale		Points awarded
A. Quality of work  The degree of excellence of the completed work (accuracy and freedom from errors). Disregard volume.	1 - Very Poor	Unsatisfactory quality of work. Nearly all work is of unacceptable quality and completed with carelessness.	Number of points awarded for quality of work:
	2 - Poor	Poor quality of work. Some work is of unacceptable quality and frequently completed with carelessness.	
	<b>3</b> - Fair	Fair quality of work. Work is not frequently of unacceptable quality, but also rarely exemplary.	Comments:
	4 - Good	Good quality of work. Work is almost always acceptable. Rarely or never of poor quality.	Comments.
	5 - Excellent	Excellent quality of work, almost always going beyond what is expected. Work never of poor quality.	
B. Quantity of work  The amount of work accomplished (out- out) and the amount of time it takes the student to complete the work assigned.	1 - Very Poor	Unsatisfactory output and rarely completes work on time. Usually behind.	Number of points awarded for quantity of work:
	2 - Poor	Output is usually slow and usually does not complete work on time. Frequently behind.	
	3 - Fair	Moderate amount of output and usually completes work on time. Occasionally behind.	
	4 - Good	Acceptable amount of output and almost always completes work on time. Typically does what conditions require and is not usually behind.	Comments:
	5 - Excellent	High amount of output and always completes work on time. Typically ahead of schedule, and keeps ahead of requirements. Never behind.	

Trait evaluated		P	oints and rating scale	Points awarded	
C. Cooperation & Disposition	1-	Very Poor	Argumentative and disagreeable. Does not maintain good working relationship with others.	Number of points awarded for cooperation & disposition:	
The attitude towards work and other people, and attention given to others. How well the student maintains working relationships with their supervisor and co-workers.		Poor	Sometimes disagreeable and occaisionally argumentative. Sometimes complains about given tasks. Working relationship with others needs improvement.		
	3 -	Fair	Generally cooperative, and at worst indifferent. Has a mostly good working relationship with others.	Comments:	
	4 -	Good	Willing worker, rarely indifferent, and never argumentative. Has great working relationship with others.		
	5 -	Excellent	Enthusastic worker, always accepting of duties and promotes cooperation. Makes active effort to get along and ensure harmony in the workplace.		
D. Aptitude for learning The student's ability to grasp essentials as well as versatility and adjustment to new and changing environments.	1	Very Poor	Shows inability to change in a flexible environment or when faced with new tasks or challenges.	Number of points awarded for aptitude for learning:	
	2 -	Poor	Slow when confronted with new ideas and requires considerable helo when faced with new tasks and challenges.		
	3 -	Fair	Takes some time to grasp new ideas and requires some help when faced with new tasks and challenges.	Comments:	
	4 -	Good	Quickly grasps new ideas, learns and adapts to new tasks and challenges with minimal help.	Comments.	
		Excellent	Always adapts immediately to new ideas, learns and adapts to new tasks and challenges with no help.	-	
	теменні пастата по уніт не	PHARTYBBSTP (NR. 17 MARKHARIA) B. LUMB 3 A L-MANAC (SISSEGUE) BE			
<b>E. Punctuality</b> The student's frequency of tardiness without an excusable reason.	व्यक्ति इस्तु इस्तु इस्तु	Very Poor	Unacceptable amount of tardiness. Frequently late, and requires constant reminders about punctuality.	Number of points awarded for punctuality:	
	2 -	Poor	Tardiness is frequent and above expected amount. Often late and sometimes requires reminders about punctuality.		
	3 -		Occaisional tardiness, but not yet a major problem. Needs some improvement in punctuality.	Commonte	
	4 .	Good	Almost always on time, and tardiness is in- frequent. Does not need reminders about punctuality.	Comments:	
	2.0° 00 2.0° 00 2.00°	Excellent	Always on time or early. Tardiness is extremely infrequent, if ever. Never needs reminders about punctuality.		
de Edizione e consente de la consente del la consente de la consen					

Trait evaluated	P	oints and rating scale	Points awarded
F. Attendance The frequency of the student's inexcusable absenses.	<ol> <li>Very Poor</li> <li>Poor</li> <li>Fair</li> <li>Good</li> <li>Excellent</li> </ol>	Unacceptable amount of absences. Frequently absent, and requires constant reminders about attendance.  Absence is frequent and above expected amount. Often absent and sometimes requires reminders about attendance.  Occasional absence, but not yet a major problem. Needs some improvement in attendance.  Attendance is satisfactory, and absence is infrequent. Does not need reminders about attendance.  Attendance is exemplary and absence rare.	Number of points awarded for attendance:  Comments:
G. Appearance  Consider appropriateness of dress, cleanliness, grooming, neatness and general impression.	<ol> <li>Very Poor</li> <li>Poor</li> <li>Fair</li> <li>Good</li> <li>Excellent</li> </ol>	Almost always untidy and not appropriately dressed for the workplace. General impression is lacking.  Often untidy and not often appropriately dressed for the workplace. General impression needs improvement.  Appearance is generally acceptable, but occasional carelessness in appropriateness of dress.  Usually neat and appropriately dressed for the workplace. General impression is good and meets appearance expectations.  Exceptionally impressive appearance, and is always neat and appropriately dressed for the workplace.	Number of points awarded for appearance:  Comments:

## **Overall Performance**

The overall performance score is a cumulative score based upon each individual score awarded for each trait. This helps inform both the student and the supervisor as to how well a student is doing in their work overall. Fill in each box below with the points awarded for each corresponding trait evaluated. Then, multiply that number according to its weighted value. For example, if a student scored a "4" for the "quality of work" trait (which is weighted at x3), then the weighted score should be "12" (4 x 3 = 12). Then, add all of the calculated weighted scores into a final sum and compare the final sum to the overall performance scale.

Trait Item	Scores	Weig	Inted Scores	Overall Score  Add up all scores in the "weighted scores" column to
A. Quality of work		X 3		calculate overall score and overall performance rating.
B. Quantity of work		x S	STATE OF STA	
C. Cooperation & Disposition	Commence of the commence of th	x Z	Control of the second of the s	SERVICE AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERTY OF
D. Aptitude for Learning	Carries and the Version of States	x 2		
E. Punctuality	C. 1123 M. 1124 A. 112	x 1	C	< 45 Unacceptable 45 - 49 Needs Improvement
F. Attendance	Constitution to the service of the s	X T	,	50 - 55 <b>Goo</b> d .
G. Appearance	Company of the Compan	K. N	September 1 and 1	56 - 61 Very Good 62 - 65 Exceptional

3

## Additional Comments & Recommendations for Improvement

· .		
	Signatures	
By signing below, you (the evalua-	ator) acknowledge that you have expla	ained the above evaluation fully
to the student, and have answere	ed all questions pertaining to it. By sign	ning you also acknowledge
that all information pertaining to t	he evaluation is confidential and will	not be discussed with any
unauthorized parties.		
Evaluator Name (Print)	Evaluator Signature	Date
By signing below, you (the studer	nt employee) acknowledge that the ab	ove evaluation has been fully
explained to you by the evaluator,	, and all questions pertaining to it has	been answered. By signing,
discussed with any unauthorized	ormation pertaining to the evaluation is	s confidential and will not be
alcoaddd with any dhadhbhized	paros.	
Student Name (Print)	Student Signature	Date
(I IIII)	CHARLE OFFICIALE	Date