





Dewar College of Education & Human Services

Valdosta State University 1500 N. Patterson St. Valdosta, GA 31698-0045 229-333-5925



Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (CAPS)

User Guide

Adapted from

the GaDoE Teacher Keys Effectiveness System Evaluator Training & Credentialing Materials 2013-2014

and

Georgia Intern Keys Effectiveness System Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards

(http://www.gapsc.com/GaEducationReform/Downloads/Intern_TKES_DRAFT_11-2-13.pdf)

Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (CAPS)

What is the purpose of this assessment?

The Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (CAPS) is an observation instrument and summative assessment for pre-service teachers adapted by the EPP from the Teacher Assessment on Performance Standards (TAPS), which is the observation component of the Georgia Teacher Keys Effectiveness System (TKES) currently being used to evaluate in-service teachers in Georgia's P-12 schools. The purpose of this observation instrument and summative assessment is to provide feedback to teacher candidates on their progress throughout their initial teacher preparation programs and to familiarize them with the standards that will be used to evaluate their effectiveness as in-service teachers in Georgia's P-12 schools. Aggregated results from the formative and summative assessments will also be used by the program faculty for program improvement.

How do I complete this assessment?

Formative Assessment: The descriptions listed on the left side of the page under each standard are the performance indicators from the rubric to be rated. The possible evidence that may be observed for each indicator is listed on the right side of the page. The list of possible evidence provides examples of behaviors you would expect of a teacher candidate performing at the proficient level. Using the performance indicators and evidence, determine which level is appropriate for what you have observed and choose only one rating for each standard. Level 4 (Exemplary) is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment. Provide a rationale for the ratings by listing evidence observed or comments in the right-hand column of the form. Overall comments may be given in the space on the last page. The formative assessment is designed to be used by candidates as a self-assessment, the P-12 mentor teachers, and the university supervisor.

Summative Assessment: The summative assessment should only be completed during clinical practice (student teacher/internship) and should be based on the teacher candidate's overall performance during clinical practice. Use the preponderance (formative assessments using CAPS, informal observations, lesson plans, etc.) of evidence to determine the teacher candidate's level of performance at the completion of clinical practice. Provide a rationale for the ratings by listing evidence observed or comments in the right-hand column of the form. Overall comments may be given in the space on the last page. The summative assessment will be completed by the clinical practice university supervisor in consultation with the teacher candidate and P-12 mentor teacher.

The minimum accepted rating on the summative assessment for successful completion of clinical practice is Level 2 (Developing); however, teacher candidates should aspire to perform at Level 3 (Proficient) for most standards. Level 4 (Exemplary) ratings are reserved for those candidates who continually seek to serve as role models for their peers and should be reserved for candidates who have consistently demonstrated success at this level. Proper documentation for Level 4 is required. A rating of ineffective (Level 1) on one or more standards indicates the candidate will not successfully complete clinical practice.

The COEHS minimum requirements are mandatory for all initial teacher candidates prepared at Valdosta State University; however, individual programs may choose to set higher minimum requirements for successful completion of clinical practice, and these program requirements <u>must</u> be included in the course syllabus for student teaching/internship for those individual programs.

Walkthrough: A walkthrough is a short observation (10-minute minimum). It provides a snapshot of practice where a limited number of standards are rated; typically 1-4 standards. The walkthrough is an announced or unannounced observation that is not required or submitted for clinical practice.

CAPS Instrument Online Training Module

Completing the online training module is a requirement prior to using this assessment for candidates in field experiences and clinical practice. The training module is available on the following website:

http://www.valdosta.edu/colleges/education/center-for-accreditation-and-curricular-innovation/welcome.php

Begin the training at the CAPS training module tab on the left side of the page.

Suggestions for Using this Instrument

- Read over the entire instrument prior to beginning an observation.
- The items on the form do not need to be completed in order. Mark the indicators and record evidence as you observe them. Wait until the lesson is over to decide which rating would be most appropriate.
- Provide appropriate comments about something you observed to clarify the rating you assigned.

Comments should support the teacher candidate and give specific feedback in order to sustain or alter his/her performance.

How do I decide which rating applied to what I am observing?

Use the form during observations and documentation reviews to comment on evidence of the teacher candidate meeting the standard. Based on the observation and documentation provided, evaluators should check the applicable rating. Specific comments <u>must</u> be included to substantiate the rating.

During a **formative** observation a rating of Level 2 (Developing) indicates the desired outcome. When determining the appropriate rating, begin with Level 2 (Developing). There may be indicators which support a higher Level 3 (Proficient) or a lower Level 1 (Ineffective) rating. A level 4 (Exemplary) rating may not be used in a formative observation.

**Note-Standard 9: Professionalism is rated post-observation during the conference with the teacher candidate. The purpose in evaluating post-observation is to provide the candidate an opportunity to demonstrate reflective practice through conversation with the evaluator.

When determining the appropriate rating for the **summative** assessment, consider the preponderance of evidence and totality of work from the entire semester. Begin with Level 3 (Proficient) and determine if evidence supports a Level 4 (Exemplary) or a Level 2 (Developing) rating.

The COEHS minimum requirements (level 2) are mandatory for all initial teacher candidates prepared at Valdosta State University; however, individual programs may choose to set higher minimum requirements for successful completion of clinical practice, and these program requirements <u>must</u> be included in the course syllabus for student teaching/internship for those individual programs.

It is the expectation that candidates will strive to achieve a proficient rating across all standards; therefore, the possible evidence provided includes behaviors expected of teacher candidates performing at the proficient level. When determining the rating for a standard, consider the overall frequency and degree of effectiveness observed.

Ratings and Descriptors

| Rating | Descriptors |
|----------------------|--|
| Ineffective –Level 1 | Rarely demonstrated and/or demonstrated inadequately |
| Developing –Level 2 | Inconsistently demonstrated and/or demonstrated with limited success |
| Proficient –Level 3 | Consistently demonstrated and/or demonstrated adequately |
| Exemplary –Level 4 | Continually demonstrated and/or demonstrated with success-This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level. |

A Note on Professional Growth

This assessment provides an opportunity to evidence growth of candidates as they progress throughout their program. Therefore, regardless of the point of progression in any given program, candidates must be evaluated under the same level of expectation.

For the **7** teacher candidate dispositions, the following rubric will be utilized:

(Dispositions align with Standards 1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10)

| Rating | Descriptors |
|----------------------------|--|
| Concern – Level 1 | Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed behaviors counter to those accepted as professional dispositions. |
| Evident – Level 2 | Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. |
| Strength – Level 3 | Candidate consistently and effectively displays appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. |
| NATR – Not Able to Rate | There was insufficient evidence to rate this disposition at the time of the observation. |

Adapted from: The Disposition Rubrics/Expectations from the University of Pittsburg at Greensburg.

NOTE: Dispositions must be scored during the following observations:

- Final Field Experience
- Mid-Formative
- Summative

What possible evidence could I observe and record for each performance standard and disposition?

| Performance Standard 1: Professional Knowledge | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| The teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of the students by providing relevant learning experiences. | | | |
| Performance Rubrics The teacher candidate inadequately demonstrates understanding of curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge and student needs, or does not use the knowledge in practice. The teacher candidate inconsistently demonstrates understanding of curriculum, subject content, | Rating Ineffective Level 1 Developing | Possible Evidence/Comments Uses students' prior knowledge and interests to guide instruction. Connects the assigned content to other content areas. Makes the content relevant to the students. | |
| the teacher candidate consistently demonstrates an understanding of curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and student needs, or lacks fluidity in using the knowledge in practice. The teacher candidate consistently demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences. | Level 2 Proficient Level 3 | Selects and uses appropriate materials and resources. Plans instruction that demonstrates strong knowledge of the students' developmental needs or backgrounds. Encourages students to use their | |
| The teacher candidate continually demonstrates extensive content and pedagogical knowledge, enriches the curriculum, and guides others in enriching the curriculum. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | knowledge and everyday experiences during instruction. Incorporates developmentally-appropriate content. Reflects an understanding of students' backgrounds. Demonstrates knowledge of content and corrects teacher/student errors. Understands the scope and sequence of learning goals and objectives. | |
| Performance Standard 1: Disposition 1.1 | | | |

The teacher candidate bases instruction on goals that reflect high expectations for all students and a clear understanding of the curriculum.

| of the curriculum. | | |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed behaviors counter to those accepted as professional dispositions. | Concern Level 1 | Considers all levels of learners in the classroom Sets goals that stretch students in their thinking and work Understands the connection between the curriculum and |
| Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. | Evident Level 2 | academic goals Understands the goals of the school, district, and state and works to achieve those goals |
| Candidate consistently and effectively displays appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. | Strength Level 3 | with students • Upholds high standards of trust, character, and academic integrity |

NATR

NOT ABLE TO RATE

For any of the 7 dispositions, if NATR is marked, a statement explaining this choice must be given.

Performance Standard 2: Instructional Planning

The teacher candidate plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the needs of all students.

| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
|---|------------------------|---|
| The teacher does not plan, or plans without adequately using state and local school district curricula and standards, or without using effective strategies, resources, or data to meet the needs of all students. | Ineffective Level 1 | Analyzes and uses student learning data to inform planning. Develops plans that are clear, logical, and sequential. |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently uses state and local school district curricula and standards, or inconsistently uses effective strategies, resources, or data in planning to meet the needs of all students. | Developing Level 2 | Aligns lesson objectives and assessments to state and local standards. Considers pacing and transitions in planning. |
| The teacher candidate consistently plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all students. | Proficient Level 3 | Plans for instruction to meet the needs of all students. Integrates other content areas when appropriate. |
| The teacher candidate continually seeks and uses multiple data and real world resources to plan differentiated instruction to meet the individual student needs and interests in order to promote student accountability and engagement. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | Use materials from a wide variety of resources for lesson planning. Determine available technology resources and integrate technology into instruction when it is value-added. |

| The teacher candidate promotes student learning and content to engage students in active learning and | | based instructional strategies relevant to the |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| The teacher candidate does not use research-based instructional strategies, nor are the instructional strategies relevant to the content area. The strategies do not engage students in active learning or acquisition of key skills. | Ineffective Level 1 | Provides opportunities for students to create, present, research, and problem solve. Incorporates teaching strategies consisten with research-based best practices. |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently uses research- based instructional strategies. The strategies used are sometimes not appropriate for the content area or for engaging students in active learning or for the acquisition of key skills. | Developing Level 2 | Provides opportunities for guided practice with relevant student feedback. Uses technology, as relevant to the lesson Checks students for understanding. Uses higher order questioning. Engages students in authentic learning by |
| The teacher candidate consistently promotes student learning by using research-based instructional strategies relevant to the content to engage students in active learning, and to facilitate the students' acquisition of key skills. | Proficient Level 3 | providing real-life connections. • Employ a variety of techniques and instructional strategies to enhance student motivation and decrease discipline problems. |
| The teacher candidate continually facilitates students' engagement in metacognitive learning, higher-order thinking skills, and application of learning in current and relevant ways. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | Think through likely misconceptions that may occur during instruction and monitor students for these misconceptions. Give clear examples and offer guided practice. Uses wait time during questioning. Stress meaningful conceptualizations. Connect the learning process and outcomes to authentic contexts |
| | | entiated Instruction |
| The teacher candidate challenges and supports | | ng by providing appropriate content and |
| developing skills which address individual learn Performance Rubrics | | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| The teacher candidate does not challenge students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning-differences. | Rating Ineffective Level 1 | Facilitates learning through differentiation of content, process, and/or product. Provides instructional opportunities that |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently challenges students by providing appropriate content or by developing skills which address individual learning differences. | Developing Level 2 | consider modifications and accommodations. Uses assessment data to inform instructional and provide instructional opportunities the |
| The teacher candidate consistently challenges and supports each student's learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences. | Proficient Level 3 | vary in degree of difficulty and/or student interest. • Plan a learner-centered environment that allows for student choice, flexibility, and independence. |
| The teacher candidate continually facilitates each student's opportunities to learn by engaging him/her in critical and creative thinking and challenging activities tailored to address individual learning needs and interests. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | Plan advanced learning for gifted learners Plan remediated learning for struggling students. Create and understand students as individuals in terms of ability, achievement, learning styles, and needs. Monitor and pace instruction based on the individual needs of students. Allow students to work alone or in small groups when appropriate. |

groups when appropriate.

Performance Standard 4: Disposition 4.1

The teacher candidate is committed to developing critical and creative thinking by providing activities at the appropriate level of challenge for students.

| 2.1. | 5 | 0 111 5 11 /0 |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed behaviors counter to those accepted as professional dispositions. | Concern Level 1 | Uses learning styles in planning instruction Creates situations of learning that is "outside of the box" when appropriate |
| Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. | Evident Level 2 | Considers the readiness levels of students for appropriate challenge levels |
| Candidate consistently and effectively displays appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. | Strength Level 3 | Incorporates higher order thinking questions and opportunities for students at all levels Attuned to learner needs and interests |

Performance Standard 5: Assessment Strategies

The teacher candidate systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and students population.

| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
|--|------------------------|---|
| The teacher candidate chooses an inadequate variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are not appropriate for the content of student population. | Ineffective Level 1 | Includes numerous appropriate formal and informal assessments for diagnostic, formative, and/or summative purposes. Provides opportunities for students to self-assess learning. Includes assessments appropriate for students' modifications and/or accommodations. Uses a variety of diagnostic strategies (e.g., writing prompts, KWLs, anticipation guides, etc.) at the beginning of lessons or units to determine specific student needs. Align assessment to the intended learning |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies or the instruments are sometimes not appropriate for the content or student population. | Developing Level 2 | objectives. Observe students informally in the classroom to assess their ongoing learning. Clearly explains homework. |
| The teacher candidate systematically and consistently chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population. | Proficient Level 3 | Design tasks to determine what students car |

| The teacher candidate continually demonstrates expertise and leads others to determine and develop a variety of strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population and guides students to monitor and reflect on their own academic progress. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | do with the knowledge and skills learned. • Encourage students to self-assessment of their own thinking, reasoning, processes, and products. |
|--|----------------------------|---|
| Performa | nce Standard 5: Disp | position 5.1 |
| The teacher candidate involves students in settin | g learning goals and mor | nitoring their own progress. |
| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional | 00.100.11 | Asks students to be involved in |
| behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed | Level 1 | setting individual goals for learning segment(s) and/or unit(s) |
| behaviors counter to those accepted as professional | | learning segment(s) and/or unit(s) |
| dispositions. | | Creates opportunities for students |
| Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as | Evident | to reflect on the learning process |
| defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR | Level 2 | |
| is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. | | |
| aviore attention and rocus is required or this candidate. | | Creates running records or a |
| | Strength | charting method for students to |
| Candidate consistently and effectively displays | Level 3 | maintain their grades/progress |
| appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. | Level 5 | |
| | | Involves students in developing |
| | | learning targets for units based on |
| | | the standards |
| | | |
| | | Creates meaning and purpose for |
| | | the learner |
| | | |
| Performan | nce Standard 6: Asse | ssment Uses |
| The teacher candidate systematically gathers, a | nalyzes, and uses the rele | evant data to measure student progress, to |
| inform instructional content and delivery method | | |
| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| The teacher candidate does not gather, analyze, or use | Ineffective | Uses appropriate questions to assess |
| relevant data to measure student progress, to inform | Level 1 | content knowledge. |
| instructional content and delivery methods, or to provide feedback in a constructive or timely manner. | | • Uses data to plan and implement flexible |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently gathers, | Developing | grouping. |
| analyzes, or uses relevant data to measure student | Level 2 | • Uses data to identify knowledge and skill |
| progress, inconsistently uses data to inform | Level Z | gaps and adjust instruction. |
| instructional content and delivery methods, or | | Makes adjustments, as needed, for |
| inconsistently provides timely or constructive | | individuals, small groups, and/or whole |
| feedback. The teacher candidate systematically and consistently | Drofisiont | groups. |
| gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure | Proficient | Remediate the progress of students who |
| student progress, to inform instructional content and | Level 3 | did not achieve mastery. |
| delivery methods, and to provide timely and | | Provide opportunities for students to |
| constructive feedback to both students and other | | reflect on their performance themselves |
| relevant stakeholders. | | and ask questions. |

| The teacher candidate continually demonstrates | Exe |
|--|-----|
| expertise in using data to measure student progress | 1.4 |
| and effectively uses data to inform instructional | L' |
| decisions. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary | |
| continually seek ways to serve as role models or | |
| teacher leaders. This level is not intended for | |
| formative assessments of teacher candidates and may | |
| only be used in the summative assessment with proper | |
| documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent | |
| performance at this level.) | |

emplary Level 4

- Use assessment data to self-assess instructional effectiveness and identify areas of strengths and weaknesses.
 Assess, comment on, and discuss work
- in class.
- Interpret data of assessments accurately and make inferences about student progress and challenges.

| Performance Standard 7: Positive Learning Environment | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The teacher candidate provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all. | | | |
| Performance Rubrics The teacher candidate inadequately addresses student behavior, displays a negative attitude toward students, ignores safety standards, or does not otherwise provide an orderly environment that is conducive to learning or encourages respect for all. | Rating Ineffective Level 1 | Possible Evidence/Comments Maintains a safe and orderly environment. Materials readily accessible. Evidences respectful communication. Uses Response to Intervention (RTI) to adjust teaching and/or behavioral | |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all. The teacher candidate consistently provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all. | Developing Level 2 Proficient Level 3 | management strategies. Engages all students in the learning. Promotes a climate of trust and teamwork for all learners. Responds with cultural awareness and empathy. Technology used to enhance instruction and/or the learning | |
| The teacher candidate continually engages students in a collaborative and self-directed learning environment where students are encouraged to take risks and ownership of their own learning behavior. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher | Exemplary Level 4 | environment. Values what students say. Use of consistent and proactive discipline. Use space, proximity, or movement around to encourage students and | |

Performance Standard 7: Disposition 7.1

anticipate potential problem.

for positive behavior. Give students responsibility.

Is aware of all activities in the room.

Reinforce and reiterate expectations

The teacher candidate is sensitive to diversity and equity based on the needs of all students by modeling caring, fairness, respect, and enthusiasm for teaching.

candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher

candidate's consistent performance at this level.)

| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
|--|--------------------|--|
| Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed behaviors counter to those accepted as professional dispositions. | Concern Level 1 | Commits to sensitivity and to establishing a relationship with each learner. Believes in the worth, ability and potential of others Sees other people in essentially positive ways. Models personal and academic integrity by his/her actions Creates a sense of belonging in the classroom Eliminates barriers of learning in the classroom Establishes student-teacher relationships characterized by respect and rapport. Believes that trust and confidence in the learner's worth, ability and |
| Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. | Evident Level 2 | capacity for change is a key to learning Promotes fairness in students' interactions with others |

| Candidate consistently and effectively displays appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. Performance Standar The teacher candidate creates a student-centered | Strength Level 3 d 8: Academically (d. academic environmen | Assures that their students are afforded the services they need Sees and accepts others' point of view Respects and accepts as real each person's own unique perceptions Promotes social justice, treats students equitably Commits to growth for all learners Displays sensitivity to ethnically, linguistically, cognitively, physically, socially diverse groups and individuals Actively listens Allows students the opportunity to be heard in the classroom Responds to the needs of students in a timely manner. Challenging Environment Tin which teaching and learning occur at |
|---|--|--|
| high levels and students are self-directed learner | | which teaching and rearming occur at |
| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| The teacher candidate does not provide a student- centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels, or where students are self-directed learners. | Ineffective Level 1 | Maximizes instructional time. Engages students consistently throughout the lesson. Communicates lesson objectives |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently provides a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels or where students are self-directed learners. | Developing Level 2 | and/or learning outcomes. Incorporates higher order questioning to promote critical thinking. Provides students with constructive and specific feedback. |
| The teacher candidate consistently creates a student- centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self- directed learners. | Proficient Level 3 | Sets high expectations for student learning through student responsibility and accountability. Provides remediation for students, |
| The teacher candidate continually creates an academic learning environment where students are encouraged to set challenging learning goals and tackle challenging materials. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | as needed. Extends learning opportunities for all students. Models how to correct and learn from mistakes. Orient the classroom experience toward improvement and growth. Link learning to students' real-life experiences. |

| Performance Standar | | |
|--|--|---|
| he teacher candidate encourages students to exp | plore new ideas and ta | ake academic risks. |
| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed behaviors counter to those accepted as professional dispositions. | Concern Level 1 | Typically conveys the perception that others "can" and "will" rather than that they "can't" or "won't" |
| Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. | Evident Level 2 | Promotes and supports curiosity in their students |
| Candidate consistently and effectively displays appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. | Strength Level 3 | Encourages active inquiry |
| | nce Standard 9: Pr | |
| The teacher candidate exhibits a commitment to professional growth opportunities to support stu | | |
| Performance Rubrics | | Possible Evidence/Comments |
| The teacher candidate shows a disregard toward | Rating | |
| professional ethics or rarely takes advantage of professional growth opportunities. | Ineffective Level 1 | Meets all deadlines.Follows the Georgia Code of Ethics for Educators. |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently exhibits a commitment to professional ethics, participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and engages in reflective practice. The teacher candidate consistently exhibits a commitment to professional ethics, participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and engages in reflective practice. | Developing Level 2 Proficient Level 3 | Maintains professional dress. Maintains accurate time sheet. Maintains positive interactions with all relevant stakeholders. Reflects on teaching and establishes goal to improve practice. Participates in school-sponsored extracurricular activities. Participates in all required professional |
| The teacher candidate continually engages in a high level of professional growth and application of skills. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | development activities. Participates in collaborative student learning meetings (e.g., RTI, IEP, 504, etc.). Know areas of personal strength and weaknesses. Engage in reflection concerning your own teaching practices. Demonstrate professional demeanor and positive interaction with others. |

Performance Standard 9: Disposition 9.1

The teacher candidate evaluates and identifies areas of personal strengths and areas for growth by engaging in reflective practice.

| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed behaviors counter to those accepted as professional dispositions. | Concern Level 1 | Sees the importance of being visionary and reflective as a teacher. Seeks ways of teaching |
| Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. | Evident Level 2 | (procedures, methods, techniques, curricular approaches) that are honest and self-revealing |
| Candidate consistently and effectively displays appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. | Strength Level 3 | Develops a personal style as a teacher and positively melds personality uniqueness with curricular expectations |
| | | Seeks out, reflects, and acts upon feedback from students, cooperating teacher, supervisor, and peers |

Performance Standard 10: Communication

The teacher candidate communicates effectively with students, district and school personnel, and other stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.

| in ways that enhance student learning. Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
|--|------------------------|--|
| The teacher candidate inadequately communicates with students, district and school personnel, or other relevant stakeholders by poorly acknowledging concerns, responding to inquiries, or encouraging involvement. | Ineffective Level 1 | Uses verbal and/or non-verbal communication to promote learning. Uses precise language, correct vocabulary/grammar, and appropriate forms of oral and written |
| The teacher candidate inconsistently communicates with students, district and school personnel, or other relevant stakeholders or communicates in ways that only partially enhance student learning. | Developing Level 2 | communication. Communicates in a logical and developmentally appropriate manner for the P-12 learners. Provides clear instructions. |
| The teacher candidate communicates effectively and consistently with students, district and school personnel, and other relevant stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning. | Proficient Level 3 | Communicates effectively with all relevant stakeholders. Encourage and open, warm, communicative climate in classroom that |
| The teacher candidate continually uses communication techniques in a variety of situations to proactively inform, network, and collaborate with stakeholders to enhance student learning. (Teacher candidates rated as Exemplary continually seek ways to serve as role models or teacher leaders. This level is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment with proper documentation of the teacher candidate's consistent performance at this level.) | Exemplary Level 4 | invites students' comments, questions, and responses. Exhibit active listening. Explain rules, expectations, and concepts in a logical, sequential, and ageappropriate manner. Share instructional goals, expectations, and student progress in a timely and constructive manner. |

Performance Standard 10: Disposition 10.1

The teacher candidate creates a climate of accessibility for all relevant stakeholders by demonstrating a collaborative and approachable style.

| Performance Rubrics | Rating | Possible Evidence/Comments |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Candidate has not displayed acceptable dispositions OR has not demonstrated an adequate level of professional behaviors as defined by the program OR has displayed behaviors counter to those accepted as professional dispositions. | Concern Level 1 | Collaborates well with other teachers Demonstrates professional |
| Candidate displays acceptable dispositions as defined by the program in an inconsistent fashion OR is developing the dispositions in a positive fashion. More attention and focus is required of this candidate. | Evident Level 2 | friendliness, warmth, and genuine caring in their relationships with stakeholders |
| Candidate consistently and effectively displays appropriate dispositions as defined by the program. | Strength Level 3 | Promotes fairness in students' interactions with others Maintains appropriate standards o confidentiality |
| | | |
| | | |

Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (CAPS) Reference Sheet Performance Standards and Sample Performance Indicators

- 1. Professional Knowledge: The teacher candidate demonstrates an understanding of the curriculum, subject content, pedagogical knowledge, and the needs of students by providing relevant learning experiences.
 - Addresses appropriate curriculum standards and integrates key content elements.
 - Facilitates students' use of higher-level thinking skills in instruction.
 - Demonstrates ability to link present content with past and future learning experiences, other subject areas, and real-world
 experiences and applications.
 - Demonstrates accurate, deep, and current knowledge of subject matter.
 - Exhibits pedagogical skills relevant to the subject area(s) taught and best practice based on current research.
 - · Bases instruction on goals that reflect high expectations for all students and a clear understanding of the curriculum.
 - Displays an understanding of the intellectual, social, emotional, and physical development of the age group.
- 2. Instructional Planning: The teacher candidate plans using state and local school district curricula and standards, effective strategies, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all the students.
 - Analyzes and uses student learning data to inform planning.
 - Develops plans that are clear, logical, sequential, and integrated across the curriculum (e.g., long-term goals, lesson plans, and syllabi).
 - Plans instruction effectively for content mastery, pacing, and transitions.
 - Plans for instruction to meet the needs of all students.
 - · Aligns and connects lesson objectives to state and local school district curricula and standards, and student learning needs.
 - Develops appropriate course, unit, and daily plans, and is able to adapt plans when needed.
- 3. Instructional Strategies: The teacher candidate promotes student learning by using research-based instructional strategies relevant to the content to engage students in active learning and to facilitate the students' acquisition of key knowledge and skills.
 - Engages students in active learning and maintains interest.
 - Builds upon students' existing knowledge and skills.
 - Reinforces learning goals consistently throughout the lesson.
 - Uses a variety of research-based instructional strategies and resources.
 - Effectively uses appropriate instructional technology to enhance student learning.
 - Communicates and presents material clearly, and checks for understanding.
 - Develops higher-order thinking through questioning and problem-solving activities.
 - Engages students in authentic learning by providing real-life examples and interdisciplinary connections.
- 4. Differentiated Instruction: The teacher candidate challenges and supports each student's learning by providing appropriate content and developing skills which address individual learning differences.
 - Differentiates the instructional content, process, product, and learning environment to meet individual developmental needs.
 - Provides remediation, enrichment, and acceleration to further student understanding of material.
 - Uses flexible grouping strategies to encourage appropriate peer interaction and to accommodate learning needs/goals.
 - $\bullet \qquad \text{Uses diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment data to inform instructional modifications for individual students.}\\$
 - Develops critical and creative thinking by providing activities at the appropriate level of challenge for students.
 - Demonstrates high learning expectations for all students commensurate with their developmental levels.
- 5. Assessment Strategies: The teacher candidate systematically chooses a variety of diagnostic, formative, and summative assessment strategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the content and student population.
 - Aligns student assessment with the established curriculum and benchmarks.
 - $\bullet \qquad \text{Involves students in setting learning goals and monitoring their own progress}.$
 - Varies and modifies assessments to determine individual student needs and progress.
 - Uses formal and informal assessments for diagnostic, formative, and summative purposes.
 - Uses grading practices that report final mastery in relationship to content goals and objectives.
 - Uses assessment techniques that are appropriate for the developmental level of students.
 - Collaborates with others to develop common assessments, when appropriate.
- 6. Assessment Uses: The teacher candidate systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses relevant data to measure student progress, to inform instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide timely and constructive feedback to students and relevant stakeholders.
 - Uses diagnostic assessment data to develop learning goals for students, to differentiate instruction, and to document learning.
 - Plans a variety of formal and informal assessments aligned with instructional results o measure student mastery of learning objectives.
 - Uses assessment tools for both formative and summative purposes to inform, guide, and adjust instruction.
 - Systematically analyzes and uses data to measure student progress, to design appropriate interventions, and to inform long- and short-term instructional decisions.
 - Shares accurate results of student progress with students and relevant stakeholders.
 - Provides constructive and frequent feedback to students on their progress toward their learning goals.
 - Teaches students how to self-assess and to use metacognitive strategies in support of lifelong learning.

7. Positive Learning Environment: The teacher candidate provides a well-managed, safe, and orderly environment that is conducive to learning and encourages respect for all.

- Responds to disruptions in a timely, appropriate manner.
- · Establishes clear expectations for classroom rules, routines, and procedures and enforces them consistently and appropriately.
- Models caring, fairness, respect, and enthusiasm for learning.
- Promotes a climate of trust and teamwork within the classroom.
- Promotes respect for and understanding of students' diversity, including but not limited to race, color, religion, sex, national origin, or disability.
- Actively listens and pays attention to students' needs and responses.
- Creates a warm, attractive, inviting, and supportive classroom environment.
- Arranges the classroom materials and resources to facilitate group and individual activities.

8. Academically Challenging Environment: The teacher candidate creates a student-centered, academic environment in which teaching and learning occur at high levels and students are self-directed learners.

- Maximizes instructional time.
- Conveys the message that mistakes should be embraced as a valuable part of learning.
- Encourages productivity by providing students with appropriately challenging and relevant material and assignments.
- Provides transitions that minimize loss of instructional time.
- Communicates high, but reasonable, expectations for student learning.
- Provides academic rigor, encourages critical and creative thinking, and pushes students to achieve goals.
- Encourages students to explore new ideas and take academic risks.

9. Professionalism: The teacher candidate exhibits a commitment to professional ethics, participates in professional growth opportunities to support student learning, and engages in reflective practice.

- Carries out duties in accordance with federal and state laws, Code of Ethics, and established state and local school board policies, regulations, and practices.
- Maintains professional demeanor and behavior (e.g., appearance, punctuality and attendance).
- Respects and maintains confidentiality.
- Evaluates and identifies areas of personal strengths and areas for growth by engaging in reflective practice.
- Participates in ongoing professional growth activities based on identified areas for growth and incorporates learning into classroom activities.
- Demonstrates flexibility in adapting to school change.
- Engages in activities outside the classroom intended for school and student enhancement.

10. Communication: The teacher candidate communicates effectively with students, district and school personnel, and relevant stakeholders in ways that enhance student learning.

- Uses verbal and non-verbal communication techniques to foster positive interactions and promote learning in the classroom and school environment
- Engages in ongoing communication and shares instructional goals, expectations, and student progress with all relevant stakeholders in a timely and constructive manner.
- Collaborates and networks with colleagues and community to reach educational decisions that enhance and promote student learning.
- Uses precise language, correct vocabulary and grammar, and appropriate forms of oral and written communication.
- Explains directions, concepts, and lesson content to students in a logical, sequential, and age-appropriate manner.
- Adheres to school and district policies regarding communication of student information.
- Creates a climate of accessibility for all relevant stakeholders by demonstrating a collaborative and approachable style.
- · Listens and responds with cultural awareness, empathy, and understanding to the voice and opinions of relevant stakeholders.
- Uses modes of communication that are appropriate for a given situation.

The content of this booklet was adapted from the GaDoE Teacher Keys Effectiveness System Evaluator Training & Credentialing Materials 2013-2014 and the Georgia Intern Keys Effectiveness System Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards available at: http://www.gapsc.com/GaEducationReform/Downloads/Intern_TKES_DRAFT_11-2-13.pdf.



Valdosta State University Dewar College of Education and Human Services Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (CAPS) Performance Standards AND dispositions

| Feacher Candidate: | | School: | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Grade/Subject: | Date: | Department: | |
| Assessment Type: (Circle | the appropriate assessment t | ype.) | |
| Early Field Experience | Final Field Experience | Initial Formative | Mid-Formative |
| Final Formative | Summative | Walk-through | |

Instructions

Use the form during observations and documentation reviews to comment on evidence of the teacher candidate meeting the standard. Based on the observation and documentation provided, evaluators should check the applicable rating. Specific comments <u>must</u> be included to substantiate the rating. Follow the directions in the *Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards (CAPS) User Guide* for completing the assessment.

***Standard 9: Professionalism is rated post observation during the conference with the teacher candidate. The purpose in evaluating post-observation is to provide the candidate an opportunity demonstrate reflective practice through conversation with the evaluator.

If Not Able to Rate (NATR) is marked in any disposition, provide a comment why this was chosen.

Assessment Types

<u>Formative Assessment</u>: The formative assessment is used for a formal observation of an implemented lesson in its entirety; all standards are evaluated. Level 4 (Exemplary) is not intended for formative assessments of teacher candidates and may only be used in the summative assessment. Provide a rationale for the ratings by listing evidence observed or comments in the right-hand column of the form. Overall comments may be given in the space on the last page.

<u>Summative Assessment</u>: The summative assessment is the culminating evidence that includes walkthroughs, formative assessments, and additional documentation collected during the clinical practice of a program. The summative assessment should be based on the teacher candidate's overall performance during clinical practice. Use the preponderance of evidence (formative assessments using CAPS, informal observations, lesson plans, etc.) to determine the teacher candidate's level of performance at the completion of clinical practice. Provide a rationale for the ratings by listing evidence observed or comments in the right-hand column of the form. Overall comments may be given in the space on the last page.

<u>Walkthrough</u>: A walk-through is a 10-minute minimum observation. It provides a snapshot of practice where a limited number of standards (typically 1-4) are rated. The walkthrough is an announced or unannounced observation that is not required or submitted for clinical practice.

| | | ege: The teacher canatatie demonstrates an understanding of the etc. and the needs of students by providing relevant learning |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| experiences. | | |
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 1. Professional | | |
| Knowledge | Ineffective | |
| | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | - | acher candidate bases instruction on goals that reflect high |
| expectations for all stud | ents and a clear understand | ling of the curriculum. |
| Disposition | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 1. Professional | | |
| Knowledge | Concern | |
| | Evident | |
| | Strength | |
| | NATR | |
| | (Not able to Rate) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Performance Standar | d 2: Instructional Planning | g: The teacher candidate plans using state and local school |
| | | s, resources, and data to address the differentiated needs of all the |
| students. | euraaras, syjeerive siraregie. | s, resources, and and to dad ess me differentiated needs of all me |
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 2. Instructional | - | |
| Planning | Ineffective | |
| - | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | | |
| | | es: The teacher candidate promotes student learning by using |
| | C | the content to engage students in active learning and to facilitate |
| • | n of key knowledge and skil | |
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 3. Instructional | | |
| Strategies | Ineffective | |
| | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | (Not available for a formative assessment or | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | (Not available for a formative assessment or | |
| | (Not available for a formative assessment or | |
| | (Not available for a formative assessment or | |
| | (Not available for a formative assessment or walk-through.) d 4: Differentiated Instruc | e tion : The teacher candidate challenges and supports each and developing skills which address individual learning |

| differences. | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 4. Differentiated | | |
| Instruction | Ineffective | |
| | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | | |
| Performance Standard | 4: Disposition 4.1: The teac | ther candidate is committed to developing critical and creative |
| | tivities at the appropriate lev | |
| Disposition | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 4. Differentiated | | |
| Instruction | Concern | |
| | Evident | |
| | Strength | |
| | NATR | |
| | (Not able to Rate) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Performance Standar | d 5: Assessment Strategies: | The teacher candidate systematically chooses a variety of |
| | | rategies and instruments that are valid and appropriate for the |
| content and student pop | pulation. | |
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 5. Assessment | | |
| Strategies | Ineffective | |
| | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | wark-unough.) | |
| | | |
| | —————————————————————————————————————— | ther candidate involves students in setting learning goals and |
| monitoring their own pro | | |
| Disposition | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 5. Assessment | | |
| Strategies | Concern | |
| | Evident | |
| | Strength NATR | |
| | (Not able to Rate) | |
| | (1901 aute to Kate) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Parformance Standar | d 6. Assessment Uses, The | eacher candidate systematically gathers, analyzes, and uses |
| | | eacher canadade systematically gainers, analyzes, and uses i instructional content and delivery methods, and to provide |
| | F. 50. 500, 10 1.190111 | The state of the s |

| timely and constructive | feedback to students and rel | levant stakeholders. |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 6. Assessment Uses | | |
| | Ineffective | |
| | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Performance Standar | d 7: Positive Learning Env | ironment : The teacher candidate provides a well-managed, safe, |
| and orderly environmen | nt that is conducive to learni | ng and encourages respect for all. |
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 7. Positive Learning | | |
| Environment | Ineffective | |
| | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Performance Standard | 7: Disposition 7.1: The tea | cher candidate is sensitive to diversity and equity based on the |
| | _ | respect, and enthusiasm for teaching. |
| Disposition | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 7. Positive Learning | | |
| Environment | Concern | |
| | Evident | |
| | Strength | |
| | NATR | |
| | (Not able to Rate) | |
| | (Trot usic to Truc) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | d 8: Academically Challeng | |
| | | ademic environment in which teaching and learning occur at |
| high levels and students | are self-directed learners. | |
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 8. Academically | | |
| Challenging | Ineffective | |
| Environment | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Performance Standar | d 8: Disposition 8 1 | |
| 1 Citormance Standar | a o. Dishosinon o.1 | |
| The teacher candidate e. | ncourages students to explor | e new ideas and take academic risks. |

| Disposition | Rating | Specific Comments |
|--|--|--|
| 8. Academically | | |
| Challenging | Concern | |
| Environment | Evident | |
| | Strength | |
| | NATR | |
| | (Not able to Rate) | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Performance Standar | d 9: Professionalism | |
| | | essional ethics, participates in professional growth opportunities |
| | ing, and engages in reflective | |
| Standard | Rating | Specific Comments |
| 9. Professionalism | Hatting | Specific Comments |
| 7. Trotessionansin | Ineffective | |
| | Developing | |
| | Proficient | |
| | Exemplary | |
| | (Not available for a | |
| | formative assessment or | |
| | walk-through.) | |
| | | |
| Performance Standar | d 9: Disposition 9.1 | |
| | | of personal strengths and areas for growth by engaging in |
| reflective practice. | , | |
| | | |
| Disposition | Rating | Specific Comments |
| Disposition 9. Professionalism | Rating | Specific Comments |
| • | Rating Concern | Specific Comments |
| • | | Specific Comments |
| • | Concern | Specific Comments |
| • | Concern Evident | Specific Comments |
| • | ConcernEvidentStrength | Specific Comments |
| • | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR | Specific Comments |
| • | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR | Specific Comments |
| 9. Professionalism | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) | Specific Comments |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) | |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate of stakeholders in ways the | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate of stakeholders in ways the | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. Rating | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffective | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDeveloping | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDevelopingProficient | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDevelopingProficientExemplary | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDevelopingProficientExemplary (Not available for a | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDevelopingProficientExemplary (Not available for a formative assessment or | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDevelopingProficientExemplary (Not available for a | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDevelopingProficientExemplary (Not available for a formative assessment or | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. RatingIneffectiveDevelopingProficientExemplary (Not available for a formative assessment or | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |
| 9. Professionalism Performance Standar The teacher candidate stakeholders in ways the Standard | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) d 10: Communication communicates effectively with at enhance student learning. Rating IneffectiveDevelopingProficientExemplary (Not available for a formative assessment or walk-through.) | h students, district and school personnel, and relevant |

| and approachable style | | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| Disposition | Rating | Specific Comments | |
| 10. Communication | ConcernEvidentStrengthNATR (Not able to Rate) | | |
| Overall Comments: | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Dbserver's Signature/D | Pate | Candidate's | Signature/Date |

This assessment was adapted from the Georgia Department of Education Teacher Keys Effectiveness System Evaluator Training & Credentialing Materials 2013-2014 and the Georgia Intern Keys Effectiveness System Candidate Assessment on Performance Standards available at: http://www.gapsc.com/GaEducationReform/Downloads/Intern_TKES_DRAFT_11-2-13.pdf.