STUDENTAFFAIRS ASSESSMENT PLAN 2011 - 2012

Department: _Office of Financial Aid_

1) Tracking

The first component of a student affairs assessment program is *keeping track of who uses your student services, programs and facilities.* Furthermore, how many clients are using student affairs offerings, and how are they described by gender, race, age, class standing, residence and other important variables?

Current Means of Assessment (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

A wide variety of Demographic and Economic data is maintained and available. Reports are available to many organizations and the public for analysis.

• When is assessment done?

Every Semester, Annually, Periodically

• Who does the assessment?

Director of Financial Aid

• What/who is assessed?

Awards, Academic Progress, Degrees Awarded, Attendance, Telephone Inquiries and Grades

• How are they/it assessed?

Banner SAP Assessment Process Reports to outside entities (Department of Education, BOR, Publications) Annual Reports Annual Telephone Usage Survey Crystal Reports from Banner Database Information

• How the results are analyzed?

Comparisons are made to prior year, other institution, averages by category

• How the results are communicated and to whom?

Published on Web Published in Annual Reports

Published in Private Publications

• How the results are used?

The results are used by students and parents to compare institutions The results are used by the Board of Regents to evaluate institutions The results are used by the Department of Education to evaluate institutions The results are used to determine adequate staffing levels The results are used to evaluate internal procedures and initiative results

Proposed and/or Additional Means of Assessment for 2011-2012 (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

None

Results of Assessment

The 2009-2010 FARS Report includes more than \$114,000,000 in financial aid disbursed to students. The FARS Report which will include 2010-2011 data will be submitted in November 2011.

The 2011-2012 Common Data Set included more than \$140,000,000 in Financial Aid Awards to students for 2010-2011.

The 2010-2011 VSU Fact Book data, based the 2009-2010 Common Data Set, lists more than \$132,000,000 in financial aid awards.

The US News and Peterson's Student 2012 Guides, which include estimated 2010-2011 financial aid, show awards for Fall and Spring Semesters of \$129,508,294.

The 2010-2011 IPEDS Report containing 2009-2010 data was submitted in March 2011. Below are some results. The 2011-2012 IPEDS Report containing 2010-2011 data will be submitted by early 2012.

Type of Aid	Percentage	Receiving Aid Average	Amount of Aid Received
Federal	grant aid	32%	4,384
State/local	grant aid	51%	4,073
Institutional	grant aid	5%	2,581
Loan	-	59%	4,243

Office of Financial Aid Goal Assessment:

1) Process, award and distribute to students at least \$135,000,000.00 in Financial Aid and other funds. In FY 2010 we distributed more than \$110,000,000.00 in Financial Aid and other funds.

2) Prevent more than 6% of registered student from having their classes cancelled following any registration period.

3) Increase telephone service capacity by 25% during peak demand periods.

4) Process and disburse loans for more than 8,600 students. In FY 2010 we disbursed loans to 8054 student.

Even with a substantial increase in aid awarded and paid to student, we fell slightly short of our first goal for 2010-2011 with more than \$150,000,000 in financial aid funds awarded to students, but, only about \$131,000,000 in financial aid funds disbursed to students for the year. These additional funds awarded and paid to students did allow returning students to pay increases in intuition and other charges and facilitated fee payment for approximately 1.4% more students for Fall 2011 than the prior year.

The 2010-2011 disbursed aid included \$21,827,018 in Federal Pell Grant Funds, a 23.7% increase from the prior year. For the year, Federal Pell Grant Funds were paid to 5214 students. This represents a 23.7% increase in the number of students paid 2009-2010. Several factors played a role in this drastic increase including more low income students matriculating at VSU, reduced incomes because of the recession and the overall increase in undergraduate enrollment.

The 2010-2011 disbursed aid also included \$84,561,734 in student and parent loan funds paid to students. This represented a 14.5% increase in loan funds paid to students for the year. In 2010-2011 we processed and disbursed loans to 8906 students, a 10.5% increase over the 8054 disbursed loans in 2009-2010. Over the last decade we have seen a 112.8% increase in the number of students receiving loan funds (4186 in 2000-2001).

Following the Fall 2010 pre-registration fee payment deadline 6.6% of registered students were cancelled for non-payment. This was slightly higher than we had hoped for, but, still within the 6% range.

2) Needs

The second component of this model is *assessing student and other clientele needs*. The basic principle is that we should meet the needs of our students and other clientele.

Current Means of Assessment (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

Large amounts of Economic and Demographic data is collected and stored in the BANNER Information Management System. This data comes from Admission Applications, Financial Aid Applications and School Records.

• When is assessment done?

Most evaluations are done on an annual or semester basis

Staffing levels are evaluated on an annual basis Office Procedures are evaluated annually Student Academic progress is evaluated each Semester

• Who does the assessment?

Most student assessments are automated due to the large number of students undergoing evaluation

Assessments of Office Procedures and Staffing is done by the Director of Financial Aid

• What/who is assessed?

Students are evaluated for financial need, estimated cost and academic progress

• How are they/it assessed?

Financial Need is evaluated using the data submitted by the student's family to the Department of Education through the Free Application for Federal Student Aid

Eligibility for Financial Aid is based on Financial Need and assessed by the Banner Student Management System

Academic Progress is evaluated by automated process in the BANNER Management System

• How the results are analyzed?

The results for student academic progress is compared to prior periods The results are used by the Department of Education to evaluate institutions The results of the telephone use survey is used to determine adequate staffing levels The results processing and awarding are used to evaluate internal procedures and initiatives The overall results are used by the Board of Regents to evaluate institutions

• How the results are communicated and to whom?

Academic Progress Failures are reported in writing to the student Results are stored in the BANNER Management System Results are reported to various organizations (Department of Education, BOR, Institutional Research, Annual Institutional Reports)

• How the results are used?

The results are used to determine aid eligibility and awards To compare institutions To improve internal procedures To evaluate staffing needs

Proposed and/or Additional Means of Assessment for 2011-2012 (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

None

Results of Assessment

In order to continue supporting increased enrollment, additional increases in the number of awards and dollar amount of awards must be made. During the year we made two major changes in the processing of student loans. We continued to implement the new Banner Financial Aid E-mail Process in order to increase the automation of our communication process and increase the speed of responses to applications. These changes have helped, especially with loan processing, but we still need to do more.

We continue to look for ways to improve productivity to increase procedural efficiency and as opportunities arise we will implement them immediately. However, we have been working for many years to create an efficient and effective process and have concluded that it may be impossible to make significant increases in the number and amounts of awards necessary without either limiting staff time for customer service or adding additional staff. Since reducing staff members available for customer service would have a negative impact on the quality of service and could cause more difficulty for students in applying for and receiving aid we must find other options.

Increases in the number of applications from Pell Grant eligible students are especially difficult for us to absorb with our current staff and we are currently on track for another 12% increase for 2010-2011. The U.S. Department of Education selects virtually every Pell Grant eligible student for a process called Verification. In these cases we must request financial and other documents from the student and their family and we cannot offer any aid until these are received. Once these documents are received, staff members must review the student's application for accuracy prior and if errors are found a correction must be submitted to the Central Processing System prior to making an award. This is the most difficult and time consuming portion of the application process and is not optional. In the past, we have been able to handle these increases through highly efficient processes and dedicated staff members. However, we are reaching the limits of efficiency and must continue to add processing capacity to handle future increases in applications and verification.

We were fortunate this year to gain positions for an additional clerical staff member and a Veterans Affairs Coordinator. The clerical position will increase the availability of staff for students needing assistance with the financial aid application process and customer service. The new VA Coordination has been working directly with veterans and adult learners to increase support for their enrollment, retention and graduation.

We have set these goals for the Office of Financial Aid for FY 2012

- 1) Process, award and distribute to students at least \$140,000,000.00 in financial aid and other funds. In FY 2011 we distributed about \$131,000,000.00 in financial aid and other funds.
- 2)) Prevent more than 6% of registered student from having their classes cancelled following any registration period.

3) Process and disburse loans for more than 9200 students. In FY 2011 we disbursed loans to 8906 students.

Assessments/Measures (include how, when, and to whom these are administered, and align goals with specific assessments or measures):

- Reports compiling financial aid awards and disbursements are prepared and submitted to the Board of Regents (FARS), Department of Education (IPEDS), Institutional Research (VSU Fact Book and Common Data Set) and Outside Publications. These reports are compiled annually according to the requirements of each organization. These reports are generated from data pulled from the Banner Student Information System by various computerized extracts and by extracts completed using Crystal Reports.
- 2) Funding was approved for this project and the conversion was completed in July 2010, however, it was too late to complete the project and open the call center for the Summer 2010 peak call period. The call center will be ready and staffed for Spring/Summer 2011. The results will be assessed by comparing the prior annual telephone traffic studies during peak processing periods for the Office of financial aid to the Spring/Summer 2011 traffic study.
- 3) Reports compiling financial aid awards and disbursements are prepared and submitted to the Board of Regents (FARS), Department of Education (IPEDS), Institutional Research (VSU Fact Book and Common Data Set) and Outside Publications. These reports are compiled annually according to the requirements of each organization. These reports are generated from data pulled from the Banner Student Information System by various computerized extracts and by extracts completed using Crystal Reports.

3) Satisfaction

The third component is assessing student and other clientele satisfaction with our services, programs and facilities, and demonstrating that those experiences are consistent with the institution's goals.

Current Means of Assessment (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

An on-line feedback and service quality form. We will collect data on student satisfaction with service and communication.

• When is assessment to be done?

Data will be collected continuously from the on-line satisfaction survey and reviewed quarterly

• Who will do the assessment?

The QA committee will review the results

• What/who will be assessed?

All Financial Aid Staff

• How will they/it be assessed?

Through the on-line and quality of service questionnaire

• How will the results be analyzed?

Analysis of responses will be used to identify trends in reported level of service and knowledge

• How will the results be communicated and to whom?

Results will be reviewed in office staff meetings

• How will the results be used?

Once problem areas are identified corrective training will be conducted

Proposed and/or Additional Means of Assessment for 2011-2012 (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

None

Results of Assessment

4) Student Cultures and Campus Environments

It is important to examine the *collective perceptions of a campus and the student cultures* where they conduct their day-to-day lives. Remember each student lives in his/her own cultural environment and all students do not have the same interests, friends and classes. Assessing the campus environment determines and evaluates how the conditions and elements of the campus affect student learning and growth. Each campus is unique and different.

Current Means of Assessment (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

An on-line feedback and service quality form.

• When is assessment to be done?

Data will be collected continuously through the on-line feedback form and reviewed monthly

• Who will do the assessment?

The QA committee will review the results

• What/who will be assessed?

All Financial Aid Staff

• How will they/it be assessed?

Through quality of service questionnaire

• How will the results be analyzed?

Analysis of responses will be used to identify trends in reported level of service and knowledge

• How will the results be communicated and to whom?

Results will be reviewed in office staff meetings

• How will the results be used?

Once problem areas are identified corrective training will be conducted

Proposed and/or Additional Means of Assessment for 2011-2012 (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

None

Results of Assessment

5) Outcomes

A fifth critical component is *assessing outcomes*. For those who use our services, programs and facilities, is there an effect on their academic success, learning, or development, particularly when compared to those non-users? Not all outcomes important to student services and programs are learning outcomes. There may be other outcomes important to effective student services, such as the delivery of services in a timely and efficient manner.

Current Means of Assessment (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

An on-line feedback and service quality form is under development. We will collect data on student outcomes and timeliness of services.

• When is assessment to be done?

Data will be collected continuously through the on-line feedback form and reviewed monthly

• Who will do the assessment?

The QA committee will review the results

• What/who will be assessed?

All Financial Aid Staff Telephone Inquiries Answered Dropped/Abandoned Calls

• How will they/it be assessed?

Through quality of service questionnaire Annual Telephone Usage Survey

• How will the results be analyzed?

Analysis of responses will be used to identify trends in reported level of service and knowledge

• How will the results be communicated and to whom?

Results will be reviewed in office staff meetings

• How will the results be used?

Once problem areas are identified corrective training will be conducted

Proposed and/or Additional Means of Assessment for 2011-2012 (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

None

Results of Assessment

6) Benchmarking

How does the quality of our services, programs and facilities *compare* with like institutions? The key is to compare ourselves to like institutions that have good assessment programs and collect good data.

Current Means of Assessment (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

• When is assessment done?

Monthly, Quarterly and Annually

• Who does the assessment?

Professional and temporary staff of Office of Financial Aid

• What/who is assessed?

Policies and Procedures

• How are they/it assessed?

Peer communication with other institutions

• How the results are analyzed?

Informal comparison of issues and resulting services

• How the results are communicated and to whom?

Results are shared through informal verbal communications

• How the results are used?

When better procedures are identified attempts are made to incorporate them When shortcomings in service or communication are identified alternative methods are explored

Proposed and/or Additional Means of Assessment for 2011-2012 (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

None

Results of Assessment

The Policy and Procedures manual was reviewed and updated to reflect changes in procedures and new processes.

7) Measuring Effectiveness

A seventh component is assessing effectiveness - through reference to national or professional standards and/or through measuring cost effectiveness. How do our services, programs, and facilities compare to accepted national standards (Council for the Advancement of Standards (CAS) for Student Services/Development Programs, various national and regional accrediting agencies, and professional organizations)? Are the benefits students derive from what we offer worth the cost, and how do we know that?

Current Means of Assessment (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

State Audits and annual Quality Assurance activities are used to ensure effectiveness.

• When is assessment done?

Annually, Continuously

• Who does the assessment?

State Board of Audits, QA Committee

• What/who is assessed?

Office Policies and Procedures Publications Verification Process

• How are they/it assessed?

Comparison to established professional standards Analysis of results Statistical Analysis of sample data

• How the results are analyzed?

Issues and Findings are resolved and procedures established to prevent future repetition Two Annual Internal Reviews are conducted to ensure professional standards are maintained Problem areas with highest error rates by students are identified in verification data

• How the results are communicated and to whom?

Written audit reports are received Annual reports of QA reviews and data analysis are provided to Department of Education

• How the results are used?

The results are used to identify areas of weakness. These areas are targeted to improved procedures or additional documentation requirements and checks

Proposed and/or Additional Means of Assessment for 2010-2011 (who, what, when, how reported, etc.)

None

Results of Assessment

August 2011

During the most recent state audit, no findings were noted.

During the 2010-2011 Internal audit recommendations were made to improved effectiveness and efficiency and tighten internal controls. The following steps were implemented:

#1

Monthly reconciliations will be conducted comparing individual student records for all disbursed funds to ensure that each transaction is accepted and approved by the Federal, State or other organization overseeing the various programs. This ensures that only eligible students approved for aid by these agencies and organization receive funds. Where credits to ineligible students are discovered, they are cancelled until students are determined to be eligible. If inappropriate or suspicious activity is discovered, steps are taken to verify that fraudulent activity was not involved.

A list of responsibilities for various steps in the reconciliation process was created.

#2

A new "Reconciliation" folder was created, on the Office of Financial Aid shared server partition, to store complete electronic copies of monthly reconciliations and original data files used during the process. In addition, printed lists of resolved discrepancies, signed by the appropriate approving authority, will be maintained for all reconciliations performed by the Office of Financial Aid. This folder is divided into subfolders for each fund being reconciled and in the future subfolders will be created for each aid year. Beginning in March 2011 copies of all reconciliations have been saved her for archival purposes.

#3

Beginning September 1, 2011, the Director of Financial Aid will request a list of user accounts from EAS quarterly and review the list for accounts that should not have access to Financial Aid Forms. Copies of all spreadsheets from EAS used for these reviews, requests for termination of user access and other reports will be stored in the Reconciliation folder under the Banner Access subfolder as documentation of these audits.